

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,240	10/712,240 11/13/2003		Curtis Woods	12406/99	9480
26646	7590	05/18/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON ONE BROA		ON LLP	FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
NEW YOR		0004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Office Action Summers	10/712,240	WOODS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowar	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according	wn from consideration. r election requirement.	examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment 1) Notice 2) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413)				
3) 🔯 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/05.	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/712,240

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schafer et al. (6,230,926 B1) in view of Schafer (5,492,398).

Schafer et al. discloses (Fig. 1-14) the invention substantially as claimed including a housing (see col. 3, lines 10), a plurality of ticket bins 11, a transparent panel (see col. 3, lines 10), a bulkhead 32, a planar bottom 13, a pair of flanges (14 and 15), an angular wall 76, an arcuate guide 79. Schafer et al. does not show a plurality of drawers and a slot. However, Schafer teaches the use of a plurality of drawers 22 and slot (Fig. 3) for the purpose of dispensing tickets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Schafer et al. by providing the plurality of drawers and a slot as taught by Schafer in order to obtain a device that dispenses tickets.

3. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schafer et al. (6,230,926 B1) in view of Schafer (5,492,398) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Burr (5,222,624).

The modified device of Schafer et al. discloses (Fig. 1-14) the invention substantially as claimed except for a powered separator and a powered ticket transport mechanism. However,

Art Unit: 3724

Burr teaches the use of a powered separator and a powered ticket transport mechanism (see Fig. 5) for the purpose of automatically dispensing tickets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Schafer et al. by providing the powered separator and the powered ticket transport mechanism as taught by Burr in order to obtain a device that automatically dispenses tickets.

4. Claims 13-16 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schafer et al. (6,230,926 B1) in view of Schafer (5,492,398) and Burr (5,222,624).

Schafer et al. discloses (Fig. 1-14) the invention substantially as claimed including a housing (see col. 3, lines 10), a plurality of ticket bins 11, a transparent panel (see col. 3, lines 10), a bulkhead 32, a planar bottom 13, a pair of flanges (14 and 15), an angular wall 76, an arcuate guide 79. Schafer et al. does not show a plurality of drawers, a slot, a powered separator and a powered ticket transport mechanism. However, Schafer teaches the use of a plurality of drawers 22 and a slot (Fig. 3) for the purpose of dispensing tickets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Schafer et al. by providing the plurality of drawers and a slot as taught by Schafer in order to obtain a device that dispenses tickets.

Regarding the powered separator and the powered ticket transport mechanism, Burr teaches the use of a powered separator and a powered ticket transport mechanism (see Fig. 5) for the purpose of automatically dispensing tickets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Schafer et al. by providing the powered separator and the powered ticket transport mechanism as taught by Burr in order to obtain a device that automatically dispenses tickets.

5. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schafer et al. (6,230,926 B1) in view of Schafer (5,492,398) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bergkamp et al. (4,105,270).

The modified device of Schafer et al. discloses (Fig. 1-14) the invention substantially as claimed except for a plurality of sockets and tabs. Bergkamp et al. teaches the use of a plurality of sockets 54 and tabs 52 for the purpose of quickly removing the storage. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Schafer et al. by providing the plurality of sockets and tabs as taught by Bergkamp et al. in order to obtain a device that quickly removes the bin.

6. Claims 17-18 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schafer et al. (6,230,926 B1) in view of Schafer (5,492,398) and Burr (5,222,624) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bergkamp et al. (4,105,270).

The modified device of Schafer et al. discloses (Fig. 1-14) the invention substantially as claimed except for a plurality of sockets and tabs. Bergkamp et al. teaches the use of a plurality of sockets 54 and tabs 52 for the purpose of quickly removing the storage. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Schafer et al. by providing the plurality of sockets and tabs as taught by Bergkamp et al. in order to obtain a device that quickly removes the bin.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,240

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Roberts et al. and Wilbert are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs

5/15/06

BOYER D. ASHLEY

Page 5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER